

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN

THURSDAY, THE 1ST FEBRUARY 2007 / 12TH MAGHA 1928

WP(C).No. 29862 of 2006(E)

PETITIONER:

1. ANILA.T.R., D/O.P.N.RAMANAN,
THEKKUMKALAVIL HOUSE, KAIPPATTOOR (PO),
ERNAKULAM DISTRICT.
2. JOMY PAUL, S/O.P.M.PAULOSE,
PUTHENPURA HOUSE, KOMBANAD P.O.,
KURARIYELY, ERNAKULAM (DISTRICT), PIN-683 555.

BY ADV. SRI.SAJU S.NAIR
SRI.U.SURESH

RESPONDENTS:

1. MAHATMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY,
REPRESENTED BY ITS REGISTRAR, ATHIRAMPUZHA (PO),
KOTTAYAM, PIN-686 560.
2. CONTROLLER OF EXAMINATIONS,
MAHATMA GANDHI UNIVERSITY, KOTTAYAM,
PIN-686 560.
3. THE PRINCIPAL,
JAI BHARATH ARTS & SCIENCE COLLEGE,
ARACKAPADY, PERUMBAVOOR, ERNAKULAM, PIN-683 554.

BY ADV. SRI.N.JAMES KOSHY.

S.C.SRI.V.A.MUHAMMED.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION
ON 01/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:

Thottathil B. Radhakrishnan, J.

=====

W.P.(C)No.29862 of 2006

=====

Dated this the 1st day of February, 2007.

JUDGMENT

Petitioners are students of MSW course in the third respondent College affiliated to the first respondent University. This writ petition was filed because the petitioners were not issued hall tickets on the ground that they were not qualified to enter for the course in terms of the University regulations. However, the first respondent University has issued Order UO No.Ac.A1/3/7327/06 dated 20-11-2006 whereby acting on the expert opinion of the Dean, Faculty of Social Sciences, sanction has been accorded by the Vice Chancellor of the University to the admissions of 2005 for MSW course made in respect of all students, who have fallen short of the minimum eligibility criteria but secured 50% marks in aggregate for Faculties of Arts, Law, Social Science and Oriental Studies and 55% for Faculties of Science and Commerce, including marks for Part II of optional subjects also, being regularised as a special case.

2. In the light of the aforesaid University order, the petitioners' grievance stands redressed. Accordingly, nothing survives in this writ petition and the learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the aforesaid facts, this writ petition may be closed. Hence, this writ petition is closed.

Thottathil B.Radhakrishnan,
Judge.

sl.